



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,252		08/27/2003	Zheng J. Li	PC11724H	7177
28523	7590	04/01/2004		EXAMINER	
PFIZER IN	IC.		PESELEV, ELLI		
PATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611 EASTERN POINT ROAD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GROTON,				1623	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/650,252	LI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Elli Peselev	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
Period for Reply		. MANTING STATE					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may stion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Now statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	∍ly. communication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .						
•	 ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>84-93 and 123</u> is/are pending i	n the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>84-93 and 123</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	orolgii pilolily allaol oo ololi	,, g , , e (a) (a) e, (i).					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		n Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•				

Application/Control Number: 10/650,252

Art Unit: 1623

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 84 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 32 of copending Application No. 10/152,106. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending application is not limited to pure azithromycin sesquhydrate but encompasses mixture claimed..

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 84-93 and 123 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7, 79-83, 94-96 and 123-124 of copending Application No. 10/652,962. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the copending application are not limited to isolated compounds and encompass mixtures claimed.

Art Unit: 1623

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 86-93 and 123 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terms "form D", "form F", "form G", "form H", "form J", "form M", "form O", "form Q", "form R" and "form A" (all occurrences) are not art recognized terms.

There is no antecedent basis in claim 86 for the terminology "form A" in claims 87-93).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1623

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 84-93 and 123 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bayod Jasanada et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,451,990) or Allen et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,268,489).

Bayod Jasanada et al disclose preparation of azithromycin dihydrate by recrystallization from acetone/water or from tert-butanol/water (columns 3-4). The formation of a mixture of azithromycin dihydrate and solvates of azithromycin with acetone or butanol would have been inherent in such a process. Bayod Jasanada et al further disclose that azithromycin is an antimicrobial agent column 1, lines 5-25).

Allen et al disclose preparation of azithromycin dihydrate from tetrahydrofuran/hydrocarbon. The formation of a mixture of azithromycin dihydrate and a solvate of azithromycin with tetrahydrofuran would have been inherent in such a process.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,252

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200